

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		Ales of	Washingto	on, D.C. 20231	A-
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A ⁻	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/637,621	08/11/00	LOVATT		С	2500.096US8
		. –	E	XAMINER	
020227		IM52/09:	19		
MAJESTIC PARSONS SIEBERT & HSUE				LANGEL	W
SUITE 1100			-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOUR EMBARC	ADERO CENTE	ER	·		6
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-4106				1754	U
				DATE MAILED:	
					109/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 63762/ Applicant(s) Lovett						
	Examiner Langel Group Art Unit 1754						
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—							
Period for Response							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE						
 - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau 	36(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . It statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status	12 1						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	[3-0]						
This action is FINAL.							
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
(Claim(s) 25-30	is/are pending in the application.						
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
Claim(s) 25-30	is/are rejected.						
☐ Claim(s)							
☐ Claim(s)	•						
Application Papers requirement.							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 							
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)							
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).							
*Certified copies not received:	,						
Attachment(s)							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other						
Office Action Summary							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Serial No. 09/637,621

Art Unit 1754

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 25-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,514,200. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they would be prima facie obvious over each other.

Claims 25-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,113,665.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they would be prima facie obvious over each other.

Claims 25-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-52 of U.S. Patent No. 5,830,255.

Serial No. 09/637,621

Art Unit 1754

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they would be prima facie obvious over each other.

Applicant's argument, that applicant disagrees with the Examiner's assertion that the pending claims would be prima facie obvious over the claims of the cited patents, is not convincing, since applicant has not given any reasons to rebut such assertion. Applicant's argument, that to expedite prosecution, applicant submits a terminal disclaimer over each of the cited patents, is not convincing, since such terminal disclaimers cannot be located.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed WAYNE LANGEL

WAYNE LANGEL

PRINCED SYAMORE to Wayne A. Langel at telephone number (703) 308-0248.

WAL:cdc September 18, 2001 PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 110